

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/052,397 01/23/2002 Shinobu Kayama 68214 3926 ·23373 07/10/2003 7590 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC **EXAMINER** 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. MCCAMEY, ANN M WASHINGTON, DC 20037 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 2833

DATE MAILED: 07/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			RAV
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/052,397	KAYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ann M McCamey	2833	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which a timely filed fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	/ to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Circles (1) and (2) are the content of the content	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply see later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final t	opriate extension Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF) 	Brief must be filed within the per R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in of the appeal.	
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo)⊟ will be entered a ow or appended.	u nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•	_
10. Other:			
		The state of the s	IEDICE.
		RENEE LU PRIMARY EX	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not convincing. For example, the fact that projection of Wang's Fig. 2 is not called an "attachment arm" is merely a matter of semantics and is not given weight. Furthermore, the side surface is not given a reference point, i.e. relative to the attachment surface, and thus the reference reads on the claims.